STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

GRETCHEN WHITMER, on behalf of the State of Michigan,

Plaintiff,

v

JAMES R. LINDERMAN, Prosecuting Attorney of Emmet County, NOELLE R. MOEGGENBERG, Prosecuting Attorney of Grand Traverse County, JERARD M. JARZYNKA, Prosecuting Attorney of Jackson County, CHRISTOPHER R. BECKER, Prosecuting Attorney of Kent County, PETER J. LUCIDO, Prosecuting Attorney of Macomb County, and JOHN A. McCOLGAN, Prosecuting Attorney of Saginaw County, in their official capacities,

Defendants,

and

DAVID S. LEYTON, Prosecuting
Attorney of Genesee County, CAROL A.
SIEMON, Prosecuting Attorney of
Ingham County, JEFFREY S.
GETTING, Prosecuting Attorney of
Kalamazoo County, MATTHEW J.
WIESE, Prosecuting Attorney of
Marquette County, KAREN D.
McDONALD, Prosecuting Attorney of
Oakland County, ELI NOAM SAVIT,
Prosecuting Attorney of Washtenaw
County, and KYM L. WORTHY,
Prosecuting Attorney of Wayne County,
in their official capacities,

Defendants-Appellees,

and

Court of Appeals Docket No. _____

Oakland Circuit Case No. 22-193498-CZ

RIGHT TO LIFE OF MICHIGAN AND MICHIGAN CATHOLIC CONFERENCE'S MOTION FOR IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION OF THEIR EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL OR PEREMPTORY REVERSAL OF DENIAL OF THEIR MOTION TO INTERVENE

This case involves a claim that state governmental action is invalid

RIGHT TO LIFE OF MICHIGAN AND MICHIGAN CATHOLIC CONFERENCE,

Proposed Intervenors-Appellants.

Christina Grossi (P67482)
Deputy Attorney General
Linus Banghart-Linn (P73230)
Christopher Allen (P75329)
Kyla Barranco (P81082)
Assistant Attorneys General
MICHIGAN DEP'T OF ATTORNEY GENERAL
P.O. Box 30212
Lansing, MI 48909
(517) 335-7628
Banghart-LinnL@michigan.gov

Counsel for Governor Gretchen Whitmer

John J. Bursch (P57679)
ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM
440 First Street NW, Street 600
Washington, DC 20001
(616) 450-4235
jbursch@ADFlegal.org

Michael F. Smith (P49472) THE SMITH APPELLATE LAW FIRM 1717 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 1025 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 454-2860 smith@smithpllc.com

Rachael M. Roseman (P78917)
Jonathan B. Koch (P80408)
SMITH HAUGHEY RICE & ROEGGE
100 Monroe Center NW
Grand Rapids, MI 49503
(616) 458-3620
rroseman@shrr.com
jkoch@shrr.com

Counsel for Proposed Intervenors Right to Life of Michigan and Michigan Catholic Conference David A. Kallman (P34200) Stephen P. Kallman (P75622) Jack C. Jordan (P46551) William R. Wagner (P79021) GREAT LAKES JUSTICE CENTER 5600 W. Mount Hope Hwy. Lansing, MI 48917 (517) 993-9123 dave@greatlakesjc.org

Counsel for Jerard Jarzynka and Christopher Becker, Prosecuting Attorneys for Jackson and Kent Counties

Sue Hammoud (P64542)
WAYNE COUNTY CORPORATION COUNSEL
500 Griswold – 30th Floor
Detroit, MI 48226
(313) 224-6669
shammoud@waynecounty.com

Counsel for Defendant Kym L. Worthy, Prosecuting Attorney for Wayne County

Wendy E. Marcotte (P74769)
MARCOTTE LAW, PLLC
Marquette County Civil Counsel
102 W. Washington St. – Ste. 217
Marquette, MI 49855
(906) 273-2261
wendy@marcottelaw.us

Counsel for Matthew J. Wiese, Prosecuting Attorney for Marquette County Bonnie G. Toskey (P30601) Sarah K. Osburn (P55539) COHL, STOKER & TOSKEY, PC 601 N. Capitol Ave. Lansing, MI 48933 (517) 372-9000 btoskey@cstmlaw.com sosburn@cstmlaw.com

Counsel for Carol Siemon & Jeff Getting, Prosecuting Attorneys for Ingham and Kalamazoo Counties

Brooke E. Tucker (P79776)
Office of the Prosecuting Attorney – Civil Division
900 South Saginaw St. – Suite 102
Flint, MI 48502
(810) 257-3050
btucker@co.genesee.mi.us

Counsel for David Leyton, Prosecuting Attorney for Genesee County

Russell C. Babcock (P57662)
Assistant Prosecuting Attorney
SAGINAW COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE
111 S. Michigan Ave.
Saginaw, MI 48602
(989) 790-5330
rbabcock@saginawcounty.com

Counsel for John A. McColgan, Jr., Prosecuting Attorney for Saginaw County Melvin Butch Hollowell (P37834) Angela L. Baldwin (P81565) THE MILLER LAW FIRM 1001 Woodward Ave. – Ste. 850 Detroit, MI 48226 (313) 483-0880 mbh@millerlawpc.com alb@millerlawpc.com

Counsel for Karen D. McDonald, Prosecuting Attorney for Oakland County Eli Savit (P76528) 200 N. Main Street – Ste. 300 Ann Arbor, MI 48104 (734) 222-6620 savite@washtenaw.org

Counsel for Eli Savit, Prosecuting Attorney for Washtenaw County

Timothy S. Ferrand (P39583) CUMMINGS, McCLOREY, DAVIS & ACHO, PLC 19176 Hall Road – Suite 220 Clinton Township, MI 48038 (586) 228-5600 tferrand@cmda-law.com

Counsel for Peter Lucido, Prosecuting Attorney for Macomb County

RIGHT TO LIFE OF MICHIGAN AND MICHIGAN CATHOLIC CONFERENCE'S MOTION FOR IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION OF THEIR EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL OR PEREMPTORY REVERSAL OF DENIAL OF THEIR MOTION TO INTERVENE

Right to Life of Michigan and the Michigan Catholic Conference (together, "Proposed Intervenors") move for immediate consideration of their emergency application for leave to appeal or peremptory reversal of denial of their motion to intervene. In support, Proposed Intervenors state the following:

- 1. On April 7, 2022, Governor Whitmer filed a complaint in the Circuit Court seeking to enjoin MCL 750.14, a longstanding Michigan law protecting unborn life. *Whitmer v. Linderman*, Oakland Cnty No. 2022-193498-CZ.
- 2. On May 4, 2022, Proposed Intervenors filed a timely motion to intervene as defendants in the Circuit Court action.
- 3. On May 24, 2022, the Circuit Court adjourned Proposed Intervenors' motion, indicating that it would suspend proceedings to await guidance from the Michigan Supreme Court.
- 4. On August 1, 2022, the Circuit Court suddenly reactivated the case by granting Governor Whitmer's *ex parte* motion for a temporary restraining order.
- 5. On August 3, 2022, Proposed Intervenors renewed their motion to intervene.
- 6. On August 10, 2022, Governor Whitmer filed a motion for preliminary injunction, seeking to completely enjoin enforcement of MCL 750.14.
- 7. On August 16, 2022, one day before the evidentiary hearing on the Governor's motion for preliminary injunction, the Circuit Court denied Proposed

Intervenors' renewed motion to intervene, and also denied Proposed Intervenors' motion to file any opposition to the motion for preliminary injunction.

- 8. On August 19, 2022, following a 3-day evidentiary hearing in which counsel for Proposed Intervenors was prohibited from participating, the Circuit Court granted a preliminary injunction completely enjoining MCL 750.14.
- 9. The Circuit Court manifestly erred and abused its discretion in denying Proposed Intervenors' motion to intervene, resulting in substantial prejudice.
- 10. The Circuit Court has repeatedly taken substantial action prejudicing Proposed Intervenors while excluding them and their counsel from participation.
 - 11. The Circuit Court set a pretrial conference for November 21, 2022.
- 12. For Proposed Intervenors to participate in the pretrial conference—or any intervening matters—this Court must review Proposed Intervenors' appeal before November 21, 2022.
- 13. So far, in these proceedings, the Governor's counsel and multiple attorneys representing pro-abortion county prosecutors have been allowed to oppose MCL 750.14's constitutionality. But only counsel for Defendants Jarzynka and Becker have offered a substantive defense of MCL 750.14's constitutionality.
- 14. Proposed Intervenors have separate counsel and interests from the existing defendants, and their briefs in opposition to Governor Whitmer's claims make and preserve different arguments.

- 15. This Court's immediate consideration of Proposed Intervenors' appeal will remedy what has—thus far—been an imbalanced proceeding that favors the proabortion side.
- 16. Granting Proposed Intervenors' motion for immediate consideration and considering their briefs in advance of the November 21, 2022, pretrial conference, is in the interests of justice and "fairness." **Exhibit 1**, 5/20/22 Order, Whitmer v Linderman, S. Ct. No. 164256 (Bernstein, J., concurring).
- 17. Indeed, "[g]iven the gravity of the issues presented in this case," this Court "should strive to open the courtroom doors to as many voices as possible." *Id*. (Bernstein, J., concurring).

For these reasons, Proposed Intervenors ask this Court (1) to grant their motion for immediate consideration, (2) grant their application for leave to appeal and for peremptory reversal of the Circuit Court's order denying intervention; and (4) review Proposed Intervenors' application in advance of the November 21, 2022, pretrial conference.

Dated: September 6, 2022 Respectfully submitted,

ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM

By /s/ John J. Bursch

John J. Bursch (P57679) 440 First Street NW, Street 600 Washington, DC 20001 (616) 450-4235 jbursch@ADFlegal.org

By /s/ Michael F. Smith

Michael F. Smith (P49472) The Smith Appellate Law Firm 1717 Pennsylvania Ave. NW – Suite 1025 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 454-2860 smith@smithpllc.com

By /s/ Jonathan B. Koch

Rachael M. Roseman (P78917) Jonathan B. Koch (P80408) Smith Haughey Rice & Roegge 100 Monroe Center NW Grand Rapids, MI 49503 (616) 774-8000 rroseman@shrr.com jkoch@shrr.com

Attorneys for Proposed Intervenors Right to Life of Michigan and the Michigan Catholic Conference

EXHIBIT 1

Order

Michigan Supreme Court Lansing, Michigan

May 20, 2022

164256 & (3)(7)(8)(9)(10)(15)

Bridget M. McCormack, Chief Justice

Brian K. Zahra David F. Viviano Richard H. Bernstein Elizabeth T. Clement Megan K. Cavanagh Elizabeth M. Welch, Justices

In re EXECUTIVE MESSAGE OF THE GOVERNOR REQUESTING THE AUTHORIZATION OF A CERTIFIED QUESTION.

(GRETCHEN WHITMER, Governor v JAMES R. LINDERMAN, Prosecuting Attorney of Emmet County, *et al.*)

SC: 164256

On order of the Court, the motions for immediate consideration and motions for leave to respond or reply are GRANTED. The Executive Message of the Governor pursuant to MCR 7.308(A)(1) was received on April 7, 2022, requesting that this Court direct the Oakland Circuit Court to certify certain questions for immediate determination by this Court. Having received responses from several county prosecutors, as well as amici briefs, we direct the Governor to file a brief with this Court within 14 days of the date of this order, providing a further and better statement of the questions and the facts. MCR 7.308(A)(1)(b). Specifically, the Governor shall address: (1) whether the Court of Claims' grant of a preliminary injunction in *Planned Parenthood v Attorney General*, 22-000044-MM, resolves any need for this Court to direct the Oakland Circuit Court to certify the questions posed for immediate determination; (2) whether there is an actual case and controversy requirement and, if so, whether it is met here; (3) given the infrequent application of the Executive Message process by current and former governors, what is required under MCR 7.308(A) and, specifically, whether the question is of "such public moment as to require an early determination"; (4) whether the Executive Message process limits the Governor's power to defending statutes, rather than calling them into question; and (5) whether the questions posed should be answered before the United States Supreme Court issues its decision in Dobbs v Jackson Women's Health Organization, No. 19-1392, and whether a decision in that case would serve as binding or persuasive authority to the questions raised here.

The county prosecutors may file responsive briefs. Amici who have filed briefs with the Court to date are invited to file supplemental briefs addressing the questions identified in this order. Other persons or groups interested in the determination of the

issues presented in this case may move the Court for permission to file briefs amicus curiae. All responsive and amicus curiae briefs shall be filed within 14 days of the Governor's brief.

The Executive Message, motion to intervene, and motion to dismiss remain pending.

BERNSTEIN, J. (concurring).

Given the gravity of the issues presented in this case, I believe we should strive to open the courtroom doors to as many voices as possible. In the interest of fairness, I strongly prefer to allow the county prosecutors, as well as any other persons or groups interested in these issues, the same two-week briefing period that we are giving the Governor. While I believe an expedited briefing schedule is warranted under the circumstances, the schedule we have set in our order balances our interest in timely considering these issues while giving everyone a full and fair opportunity to participate.

CAVANAGH, J. (concurring in part and dissenting in part).

I join the Court's order granting further briefing in this case on these important threshold procedural questions. I dissent only with regard to the briefing schedule. Given the potential urgency underlying the issues in this case, I would have ordered that the supplemental briefing be completed within two weeks. If the injunction issued by the Court of Claims gives the Governor the relief she seeks, the timing will not matter. If not, and if this Court believes we should grant the Governor's request to authorize the circuit court to certify the questions posed by the Governor in the pending lawsuit, the schedule the majority has set here may leave insufficient time to determine the merits of the case. Although I echo Justice BERNSTEIN's sentiment that we should strive to allow all interested persons the opportunity to have their voices heard, operating on an expedited basis—as we are often called on to do—in no way closes the courtroom doors to any interested voices. Because I believe the Court's order today fails to treat this case with the urgency it deserves, I respectfully dissent from the majority's refusal to expedite this supplemental briefing schedule.

MCCORMACK, C.J., and WELCH, J., join the statement of CAVANAGH, J.



I, Larry S. Royster, Clerk of the Michigan Supreme Court, certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of the order entered at the direction of the Court.

May 20, 2022



RECEIVED by MCOA 9/6/2022 5:26:31 PM

STATE OF MICHIGAN

MI Court of Appeals

Proof of Service

Case Title:	Case Number:
Gretchen Whitmer v James R. Linderman, et al.	TEMP-POK2SOOJ

1. Title(s) of the document(s) served:

Filing Type	Document Title
ISI_CASE_INIT_FORM_DT	Case Initiation Form
Application	Right to Life of MI & MI Catholic Conference's Emergency App for
Application	Lv to Appeal or Peremptory Reversal
Appendix	COA Appendix of Exhibits to Proposed Intervenors' AFLTA re denial
Appendix	of intervention
Motion for Immediate Consideration	COA Motion for Immediate Consideration of Intervenors' AFLTA re
	denial of intervention

2. On 09-06-2022, I served the document(s) described above on:

Recipient	Address	Type
Jonathan Koch Smith Haughey Rice & Roegge 80408	jkoch@shrr.com	e-Serve
Francine Robinson	frobinson@shrr.com	e-Serve
Briana Wallin Smith Haughey Rice & Roegge	bwallin@shrr.com	e-Serve
Rachael Roseman Smith Haughey Rice & Roegge P78917	rroseman@shrr.com	e-Serve
Christina Grossi Michigan Department of Attorney General P67482	grossic@michigan.gov	e-Serve
John Bursch Bursch Law PLLC P57679	jbursch@burschlaw.com	e-Serve
Michael Smith Fhe Smith Appellate Law Firm P49472	smith@smithpllc.com	e-Serve
David Kallman Kallman Legal Group, PLLC 34200	dave@kallmanlegal.com	e-Serve
Sue Hammoud Wayne County Corporation Counsel P64542	shammoud@waynecounty.com	e-Serve
Wendy Marcotte Marcotte Law, PLLC P74769	wendy@marcottelaw.us	e-Serve

	-	
	~	
		j
	\subset)
	H	3
		4
	\subseteq	Š
	Ţ	ļ
	Ţ	
	_	1
	D V	
١	\triangleleft	
	≤	
	MCOA	•
	\succeq	4
	b	>
	9	
	\geq	
	\mathbf{y}	١
	/6/202	Ì
	\subseteq	5
	\overline{N}	5
	N	5
	Y	1
	ĸ.	2
	0	
	۲.	١
	C)
	\vdash	٨
	_	
	_	_
	~	

Bonnie Toskey Cohl Stoker & Toskey PC 30601	btoskey@cstmlaw.com	e-Serve
Brooke Tucker Genesee County Corporation Counsel 79776	btucker@co.genesee.mi.us	e-Serve
Russell Babcock Saginaw County Prosecutors' Office P57662	rbabcock@saginawcounty.com	e-Serve
Melvin Butch Hollowell The Miller Law Firm, P.C. 37834	mbh@millerlawpc.com	e-Serve
Eli Savit Washtenaw County Prosecuting Attorney P76528	savite@washtenaw.org	e-Serve
Timothy Ferrand CMDA P39583	tferrand@cmda-law.com	e-Serve

This proof of service was automatically created, submitted and signed on my behalf through my agreements with MiFILE and its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

09-06-2022 Date	
/s/ Francine Robinson	
Signature	
Smith Haughey Rice & Roegge	